

## Problem Set 5: Cohomology schemes and the Bloch–Kato exponential

[Warning: one of the questions here is stated a little inaccurately, and is missing a condition. It is your task to identify this missing condition and restore it.]

1. Let  $U$  be a unipotent group over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and let  $G$  be a finite group acting on  $U$ , necessarily continuously. Show that  $H^1(G, U(\Lambda)) = \{*\}$  for every  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra  $\Lambda$ . (This explains why the cohomology of unipotent groups with an action of  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  or  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  is not so interesting.)
2. Let  $U$  be a finitely generated pro-unipotent group over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  endowed with a continuous action of a profinite group  $G$  and a  $G$ -stable separated filtration  $W_{\bullet}U$ . Set  $U_n := U/W_{-n-1}U$  as usual. Show that the natural map

$$H^1(G, U) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n H^1(G, U_n)$$

is an isomorphism of functors. (This is in the notes, but we skipped the proof in class.)

3. Let  $U$  be a unipotent group over a characteristic 0 field  $F$  and let  $U^+ \leq U$  be a subgroup-scheme (so  $U^+$  is also unipotent). Let  $V \leq \text{Lie}(U)$  be a complement of  $\text{Lie}(U^+)$ , i.e.  $\text{Lie}(U) = \text{Lie}(U^+) \oplus V$ .

- (a) Show that the map

$$U^+ \times \mathbb{A}(V) \rightarrow U$$

given by

$$(u^+, v) \mapsto u^+ \cdot \exp(v)$$

is an isomorphism of varieties over  $F$ . Deduce that the functor

$$U^+ \backslash U: \mathbf{Alg}_F \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

sending an  $F$ -algebra  $\Lambda$  to  $U^+(\Lambda) \backslash U(\Lambda)$  is representable by an affine space of dimension  $\dim(U) - \dim(U^+)$ .

- (b) The previous part provides an isomorphism

$$U^+ \backslash U \cong \mathbb{A}(\text{Lie}(U^+) \backslash \text{Lie}(U))$$

of functors. Show that in general this isomorphism is non-canonical, i.e. it depends on the complement  $V$  chosen above. (So if we want say that  $U^+ \backslash U$  is isomorphic to the specific affine space  $\mathbb{A}(\text{Lie}(U^+) \backslash \text{Lie}(U))$ , then we should be careful, because we don't have a canonical choice of isomorphism.)

4. Let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

(a) Show that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H^1(G_K, \mathbb{Q}_p(1)) = [K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1.$$

(b) Using the Bloch–Kato exponential exact sequence, find  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_e^1(G_K, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))$ .

(c) Find  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_f^1(G_K, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_g^1(G_K, \mathbb{Q}_p(1))$ .